			UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Frademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,323	01/09/2004	Brett W. Sareyka	0326	7488
7590 02/24/2005			EXAMINER	
Eugene Chovanes			CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E	
Jackson and Chovanes Suite 319			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Bala Plaza			3635	
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004-1455			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•

•

4

.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/754,323	SAREYKA ET AL.
<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chapman E Jeanette	3635
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $09 Ja$	anuarv 2004.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		s. prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) $\underline{1-9}$ is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement	
	I biolion requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	». See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	is have been received. Is have been received in App rity documents have been re	plication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		reived
	of the certined copies not re-	ceivea.
Attachment(s)		
		nman/ (DTO 412)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) L Interview Sum	(unary (P10-413)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/M	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/282,225 Art Unit: 3635

## 35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Ziegler et al (5839246) in view of Purdy (3321879) and Cubbler, Jr. et. al.. As noted Ziegler discloses a connector that is stabbed through a slot in a main beam in a suspended to lock with an opposing identical connector already in the slot and that has a cantilevered locking latch integral with and pivoted from a base of the latch.

Cubbler discloses a suspended ceiling system with mating connectors. The same includes a locking latch with a caming latch 56/66. A cam is an irregular shaped *disc or cylinder that imparts a rocking motion*. Hence, the locking latch 56/66 from the base is an arc; see figure 5.

Purdy discloses a suspended ceiling system with mating connectors. The same includes a locking latch or outwardly turned engaging flaps 48 which clearly shows the locking latch from the base is curved. See figure 7 with a caming latch 56/66.

In view of the above it would have been obvious to modify Zielgler by replacing his latch with one that pivots form the base in an arc. Clearly there is a variation in this arc as viewed from the two secondary references in order to provide a suspended ceiling structure that is easy to install yet provides rigidity. One of ordinary skill in the art ا سنو

would have appreciated any arc radius which would arrive at the intended structure, purpose and function of the invention.

Applicant admits to the taper being a part of the prior art. See figure 3 and 3a and accompanying text of the above referenced disclosure. With the above recited locking latch, the improvement provides, as much as applicant's ceiling structure with the same limitations, the following:

- during the delay in contact between the side of the slot and the locking latch:
  - a. a taper on the connector being stabbed through the slot positions
     the connector vertically within the slot more quickly without delay
  - b. a greater leaver arm is created to flex the latch as it is inserted through the slot than would be created without the delay
  - c. the lateral friction created between the connector in the slot and the connector being inserted in the slot is substantially reduced from said lateral friction created without delay
  - d. the connector inserted in the slot can be adjusted vertically to a position where it locks the connector already in the slot
- substantially less force over a shorter distance is required with the improvements discussed above than is required without the improvements

Application/Control Number: 10/

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E Jeanette whose telephone number is 703-308-1310. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 8:30-6:00, every other fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Friedman Carl can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

canelle Ellagne